



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,214	02/11/2004	Shafiq Pirbhai	ALC 3118	9972
76614	7590	06/30/2009		
Kramer & Amado, P.C. 1725 Duke Street Suite 240 Alexandria, VA 22314			EXAMINER JAKOVAC, RYAN J	
			ART UNIT 2445	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/30/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p align="center">Interview Summary</p>	Application No. 10/775,214	Applicant(s) PIRBHAI ET AL.	
	Examiner RYAN J. JAKOVAC	Art Unit 2445	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) RYAN J. JAKOVAC. (3) PATRICK WAMSLEY (59,241).
 (2) TERRY KRAMER. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: RFC-1771, RFC 2547bis.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner agreed to withdraw the rejection under U.S.C. 101 upon reception of a subsequent amendment including a positive recitation of hardware in the body of the independent claims. The cited prior art was discussed in relation to the "rejected routes tree" of the Applicant's invention. No agreement was reached regarding patentability.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/NIVEK SRIVASTAVA/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2445
--